


# A Conversation Between Sources


catherinedenial.org

1. What is the most important thing you took away from analyzing your primary sources?


SOURCE ONE




SOURCE TWO




SOURCE THREE



SOURCE FOUR



2. Together, these sources suggest:




# A Conversation Between Sources


catherinedenial.org

This theory is backed up by the following pages in my secondary sources

SOURCE ONE




SOURCE TWO





SOURCE THREE



SOURCE FOUR



4. Some secondary sources disagree with my theory. They are:



## A Conversation Between Sources

catherinedenial.org

5. Taking all of this into account, my paper/presentation will persuade my audience of the following:

